©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS

ISSN: 2579-079X

International Digital Organization for Scientific Research IDOSR JST/24/1023137
IDOSR JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY 10(2):31-37, 2024.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JST/24/102.313700

Challenges in Mathematics Arising from Theoretical and Computational Chemistry

Echegu Darlington Arinze[1] and Alum Benedict Nnachi[2]

[1]School of Mathematics and Computing, Kampala International University, Uganda
[2]Research and Publication extension, Kampala International University, Uganda
Email: darlington.echegu@kiu.ac.ug nzeechegu@gmail.com
ORCID: 0009-0002-6644-1709

ABSTRACT

The combination of theoretical chemistry and mathematics represents a significant advancement in science since it has yielded insights into the enigmas surrounding atoms and molecules. The advancement of technology has greatly benefited drug development, leading to significant advancements in both medicine and materials research. This summary discusses innovative methodologies and computational challenges in the expansive domain of theoretical and computational chemistry. Despite the computational challenges associated with the Schrodinger equation and Density Functional Theory (DFT), quantum mechanics offers a basic understanding of the behaviour of molecules and atoms. While MD simulations may not handle long-range interactions and complex configuration spaces, they nonetheless excel at capturing various time scales of molecular behaviour. Nevertheless, the process of transforming abstract notions into computer models for the purpose of solving MD simulations continues to be difficult; statistical mechanics offers a theoretical framework for understanding MD simulations. Data-driven methodologies and machine learning have significantly transformed the perspective of computational chemistry by enabling the observation and comprehension of very complex chemical interactions. We must also address concerns about interpretability, reliability in limited data streams, and system transferability. These challenges expedite the advancement of novel materials, product designs, and pharmaceuticals by leveraging machine learning and integrating it with specialised domain expertise. We used available data from many reputable databases, spanning the time period from 2010 to 2024. It is imperative to prioritise the advancement of multiscale modelling techniques and hybrid quantum-classical tools in order to achieve sufficient mastery over chemical phenomena. Another potential benefit is the use of novel mathematical models to uncover previously unknown patterns and connections in genetic data. The most effective way to tackle mathematical problems is by employing a straightforward approach and engaging in collaboration with experts from other fields. By adopting this approach, we can effectively address significant societal issues and propel advancements in chemistry.

Keywords: mathematics, computational, chemistry, theoretical, challenges, quantum mechanics

INTRODUCTION

Theoretical chemistry and mathematics have cooperated to unlock the mysteries of atoms and molecules, which has quickened scientific advancement in many domains [1]. This cooperation has been critical to solving these puzzles. Since the introduction of mathematical rigour into theoretical chemistry, our understanding of chemical processes at the microscopic and macroscale levels has improved [2]. We have demonstrated this progress in two fields: the investigation of the basic properties of matter and the creation of new materials with specific purposes [3]. The involvement of concepts from statistical mechanics, molecular modelling, and quantum mechanics helps theoretical chemistry explain the behaviour of atoms and molecules.

Theoretical chemists, in turn, can considerably improve molecular description, property previsional abilities, and molecular interaction modelling. We would need to develop computer algorithms and mathematical models to achieve this objective. The union of chemistry and mathematics has sparked numerous equally important discoveries [5]. These discoveries range from elucidating the enzymatic catalytic mechanism to understanding the electronic structure of complex molecules. Even though the relationship between theoretical chemistry and mathematics has some problems right now, the nospacechemical systems are still too complicated for mathematical modeling and representation [6]. These systems have a lot of different parts that interact with each other, such as electronic structure, molecular dynamics, and interactions between molecules. The range of mathematical problems in the domains of computational and theoretical chemistry is wide, and they are many in number. The Schrödinger equation for large molecular systems is hard to solve on a computer, and numerical methods used in a molecular dynamics simulation [7] aren't always accurate or useful. In this review, we will cover theoretical and computational chemistry in its interdisciplinary field in order to lay the foundation for the discussion of mathematically related questions that arise from these areas. It gives a short introduction to the main concepts, methods, and cases that we will use in theoretical chemistry. That is the point at which all the discussions about the mathematical challenges begin. Being a part of this will allow you to gain a more detailed understanding of the complex interplay between chemistry and mathematics in response to these molecular puzzles.

Quantum mechanics and molecular modeling

The field of quantum mechanics is considered the cornerstone of theoretical chemistry because it provides a comprehensive theoretical framework for understanding the intricate behavior of atoms and molecules [8]. It provides scientists with an unparalleled level of precision in their investigation of the atomic and subatomic levels of matter by providing them with a basic understanding of the structure, dynamics, and reactivity of molecules [9]. However, to accurately depict and model chemical processes, the mathematical foundation of quantum mechanics must overcome a number of challenges. It provides scientists with an unparalleled level of precision in their investigation of the atomic and subatomic levels of matter by providing them with a basic understanding of the structure, dynamics, and reactivity of molecules. Though it is relatively straightforward to solve for simple systems, the Schrodinger equation becomes increasingly difficult to solve as the number of particles that interact with one another increases. Known by another name, the curse of dimensionality, this phenomenon poses a significant challenge to solving the electronic structure issue for large molecules or condensed-phase systems. A system with many particles interacting with one another requires an increasingly larger amount of computer resources to accurately represent its wave function. Any system except the smallest, complete solutions is therefore computationally unattainable [10].

To address the challenges associated with solving the problem of electronic structure and escape the curse of dimensionality, the researchers have developed a broad range of numerical methods and approximation techniques. Methodologies range in sophistication from the more traditional Hartree-Fock theory and post-Hartree-Fock techniques to the more sophisticated density functional theory (DFT) and wave function-based techniques, such as linked cluster theory. Every one of these methods uses a different mathematical formalism to approximate the solution to the Schrodinger equation within the bounds of realistic computing resources [11]. They can thus compromise between computational expense and precision.

Despite the technical advancements in theoretical approaches, the development of efficient numerical methods for quantum simulations is still a major issue. We often need to solve huge systems of linked partial differential equations numerically, and diagonalize massive matrices [12]. These can be quite memory- and computationally-intensive operations. The intrinsic complexity of quantum mechanical systems, typified by the entanglement of many degrees of freedom and the existence of notable electron correlation effects, exacerbates the situation by making the numerical solution of the Schrodinger equation considerably more challenging [13].

To solve these problems, increasingly complex mathematical instruments tailored to the unique needs of quantum chemistry are required. Building new numerical algorithms to solve the problem of electronic structure, researching parallel and high-performance computing to speed up quantum simulations, and combining machine learning and data-driven methods to make traditional quantum chemistry methods better are all part of this [14]. If scientists can use the strength of contemporary mathematical instruments, they can overcome the obstacles presented by quantum mechanics and

expand our knowledge of the structure, dynamics, and reactivity of molecules.

Density Functional Theory (DFT) and Computational Complexity

Density Functional Theory (DFT) has transformed our ability to simulate electronic structure and predict molecular properties with remarkable accuracy and efficiency. Its progress has made it a cornerstone of computational chemistry [15]. With the electron density as the main variable, density-functional theory (DFT) offers a more practical method than wave function-based methods, which show the many-electron wave function directly. By using this density-based method, density-functional theory (DFT) can circumvent the computationally demanding process of solving the Schrodinger equation for many-electron systems while still capturing the basic physics of electronic structure.

Despite the widespread use and success of density-functional theory (DFT), it faces significant mathematical obstacles. The exchange-correlation functional is the one that primarily accounts for the consequences of electron-electron interactions that extend beyond the mean-field approximation limit. We must well describe the exchange and correlation effects to enable accurate predictions from density functional theory (DFT), as these interactions impact many crucial properties of molecules and materials, including bonding energies, electronic excitations, and reaction routes [16].

Accurate and computationally tractable exchange-correlation functional creation is a difficult task that is still a major focus of theoretical chemistry study. The difficulty is in documenting the intricate quantum mechanical phenomena arising from electron correlation while still maintaining computational performance [17]. For many systems, the conventional exchange-correlation functionals—the generalised gradient approximation (GGA) and the local density approximation (LDA)—can offer a suitable degree of precision. However, these functionals don't always give correct results for systems that are strongly correlated, complexes with transition metals, or systems that have dispersion interactions.

To address these shortcomings, scholars have developed a wide range of complex exchange-correlation functionals. Among these functionals are range-separated, meta-GGA, and hybrid functionals. These functionals apply sophisticated mathematical formulations to improve accuracy by capturing non-local and long-range correlation effects while maintaining processing efficiency [18]. On the other hand, creating and setting up these functions requires a careful balance between empirical fitting and mathematical rigour, which shows how DFT study involves many different fields.

Aside from the challenges associated with exchange-correlation functionals, managing computational complexity in DFT simulations is still a hot topic. However, the scalability of DFT calculations with system size and complexity creates significant challenges for large-scale simulations. Large-scale simulations with DFT are still challenging, even if they are usually less computationally demanding than wave function-based methods. We minimise disc I/O operations and memory usage by optimising computational processes, developing scalable numerical techniques for solving the Kohn-Sham equations, and efficiently parallelising discrete Fourier transform (DFT) operations on high-performance computing platforms.

DFT facilitates constant advances in theoretical chemistry, with the relationship between computational efficiency and mathematical rigour being one of these factors [19]. Through the application of sophisticated mathematical methods, including numerical optimisation, linear algebra, and functional analysis, researchers can push the limits of DFT accuracy and scalability. Researchers can make revolutionary discoveries in a variety of disciplines, including drug design, environmental chemistry, and catalysis, among others. Moreover, the integration of machine learning and data-driven approaches presents the possibility of improving traditional DFT techniques and getting beyond long-standing challenges in the fields of material design and electrical structure prediction.

Molecular Dynamics Simulations and Statistical Mechanics

The potent instrument of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations allows for the study of the dynamic behaviour of complex molecular systems across a wide range of time scales, from femtoseconds to milliseconds [20]. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, which numerically solve Newton's equations of motion for a system of interacting particles, profoundly reveal the structure, behaviour, and thermodynamics of molecules and materials under a range of conditions. Conversely, to ensure the accuracy and efficiency of MD simulations, we must resolve important mathematical problems arising from the exact integration of Newton's equations for large particle ensembles. One of the main problems in MD simulations is handling long-range interactions, particularly electrostatic interactions and van der Waals forces, which have an impact across large distances [21]. The

computing cost of these long-range interactions increases quadratically with particle count, as they require the insertion of pairwise interactions between every particle in the system. Because computers need to sum up these interactions, they are inherently complicated. Researchers have developed multiple strategies to efficiently handle long-range interactions in MD simulations, all while maintaining numerical stability and accuracy. These techniques comprise cutoff-based schemes and the particle mesh Ewald (PME) approach.

Exploring high-dimensional configuration spaces is one of the mathematical problems that appear in MD simulations [22]. This is particularly challenging in systems with complex energy landscapes or high degrees of flexibility. A chemical system's configurational space includes all possible arrangements of atomic coordinates and velocities. We must properly sample this vast and complex terrain to obtain important insights into the functioning of the system. We use increasing sampling techniques such as replica exchange and metadynamics, Monte Carlo techniques, and evolutionary algorithms to overcome kinetic barriers and enhance configuration space exploration. This therefore enables the modelling of unusual events and conformational changes. Finding reaction routes, describing molecular paths, and pulling out relevant observables from simulation data are some other math problems that need to be solved when studying complex dynamical processes in MD simulations. The study of these occurrences presents further challenges. The mathematical framework of statistical mechanics lets us connect the properties of single molecules to ensemble averages over a large number of individual copies of the system. This fills the gap between molecular dynamics at the microscopic level and observables at the macro level. Fundamental ideas from statistical mechanics provide a theoretical foundation for comprehending the outcomes of MD simulations and forecasting macroscopic aspects. These include thermodynamic ensembles, the partition function, and the Boltzmann distribution. Conversely, it continues to be challenging to translate theoretical concepts from statistical mechanics into computationally understandable models, particularly for systems with complex interconnections or unstable conditions. The goal of developing advanced statistical mechanics techniques is to provide simpler molecular systems models that capture basic thermodynamic behavior while reducing computer complexity. One of these methods includes coarse-grained models and nonequilibrium ensemble techniques. Closing this deficit is the aim. Molecular dynamics simulations and statistical mechanics are two complementary approaches to studying molecular system behavior [23]. Every one of these methods presents challenges in mathematics and opportunities for creativity. Researchers can push the boundaries of computational chemistry and gain deeper insights into the structure, dynamics, and thermodynamics of complex molecular systems through sophisticated numerical algorithms, expanded sampling techniques, and theoretical insights derived from statistical mechanics.

Machine Learning and Data-Driven Approaches

Machine learning (ML) and data-driven methods are becoming ground-breaking instruments in computational and theoretical chemistry. These technologies have completely transformed the way chemists study and simulate complex chemical processes. As an alternative to traditional modelling methods, ML approaches provide hitherto unobserved insights into the structure, behaviour, and reactivity of molecules and materials [24]. We achieve this by employing sophisticated algorithms and massive databases. Conversely, we must overcome major mathematical challenges to fully utilize these methods when combining machine learning algorithms with domain-specific knowledge in chemistry. One of the basic problems with trying to combine machine learning with domain-specific knowledge is that black-box models are hard to understand. Deep neural networks are one of the many machine learning techniques that serve as complex mathematical functions to convert input data into predictions [25]. Nevertheless, these algorithms offer no obvious explanations of the fundamental connections between the input and generated variables. Although black-box models can achieve remarkably high projected accuracy, their inability to understand limits their applicability in scientific studies. This is because developing theories and effectively validating models often rely on knowledge of the fundamental processes that underpin predictions. We must develop interpretable machine learning models and techniques for extracting valuable insights from black-box models, such as feature significance analysis, model visualisation, and sensitivity analysis, to overcome this problem. The dependability of predictions in sparse data regimes presents a significant challenge when applying machine learning to theoretical and computational chemistry approaches [26]. Though there is a dearth of training data available, especially for rare or exotic substances, many chemical systems contain feature spaces that are both complex and

high-dimensional. Machine learning algorithms may find it challenging to appropriately generalise from a small number of training samples when working with sparse data environments. This issue can have consequences such as forecast reliability, overfitting, and poor generalization performance. To get past this problem, researchers must create reliable machine learning algorithms and methods for managing unbalanced and sparse datasets [27]. Data augmentation, transfer learning, and semi-supervised learning are a few instances of these methods. To increase prediction performance, these methods employ auxiliary data sources and domain-specific expertise. Furthermore, one of the most important obstacles in the application of machine learning to chemistry is the transferability of taught representations across many chemical systems. Machine learning algorithms trained on a single chemical dataset would not be able to generalise well to previously unobserved chemical systems with novel structural motifs, chemical surroundings, or natural characteristics [28]. This lack of transferability limits the scalability and application of machine learning models in the field of chemistry. Researchers must thus create domain adaptation strategies and transfer learning methods that allow knowledge transfer between related chemical systems while preserving the model's accuracy and dependability. Furthermore, the development of domain-specific feature representations and molecular descriptors tailored to the unique characteristics of chemical data can improve machine learning models' transferability and performance across a wide range of chemical platforms. A combined approach that utilizes the complementary abilities of computer science, mathematics, and chemistry is necessary to successfully handle these challenges. Using sophisticated mathematical methods and computational approaches along with domain-specific information, researchers may create interpretable, resilient, and transferable machine learning models. These models accelerate the development of novel materials, medications, and chemical processes and deepen our knowledge of molecular systems. Furthermore, the collaboration of mathematicians, chemists, and computer scientists from various fields promotes originality and idea exchange, which advances theoretical chemistry and machine learning.

CONCLUSION

Finally, a dynamic and diverse field rich in promise and difficulties has emerged from the nexus of mathematics, theoretical chemistry, and computational chemistry. For the entirety of this review paper, we have looked at the intricate mathematical difficulties that come with modeling chemical systems. These problems range from quantum physics and molecular dynamics to density functional theory and machine learning methods. We believe that by shedding light on these issues, we will be able to encourage cooperation and originality across disciplinary borders, which will eventually result in advancements at the forefront of scientific study. The intersection of mathematics and chemistry presents future prospects for research and development. The development of hybrid quantum-classical algorithms presents a possible strategy that combines the accuracy of quantum mechanical computations with the efficiency of traditional computer techniques. These algorithms can address complex chemical systems beyond the scope of conventional modelling techniques. This would lead to a new understanding of molecules' structure, behavior, and reactivity. Moreover, researchers are developing multiscale modelling techniques to bridge the gap between the various length and temporal scales observed in chemical systems. Researchers can now simulate complex molecular processes with unprecedented precision and detail. They can then look at things like protein folding, chemical interactions in solution, and molecular material self-assembly. The seamless integration of the mesoscale, atomistic, and quantum mechanical models makes this possible. Furthermore, the development of new mathematical frameworks for the analysis of complex molecular data opens up the possibility of revealing previously unknown patterns and relationships in chemical systems. In particular, machine learning algorithms provide powerful tools to extract knowledge from large molecular datasets, guiding the creation of new materials, drugs, and catalysts. In the domains of materials science and chemistry, researchers may accelerate the discovery process and expand new frontiers by developing interpretable, robust, and portable machine learning models. In the end, if we face the mathematical obstacles that are inherent in representing chemical systems head-on, we will be able to open up new vistas in our knowledge of chemistry and open the door for revolutionary scientific discoveries. Development in this dynamic and quickly expanding industry will need the cooperation of experts from various disciplines, like mathematicians, chemists, computer scientists, and others. Working together, we can push the boundaries of scientific understanding and use mathematics to solve some of

the most significant problems facing modern civilisation.

REFERENCES

1. Betz, U.A.K., Arora, L., Assal, R.A., Azevedo, H., Baldwin, J., Becker, M.S., Bostock, S., Cheng, V., Egle, T., Ferrari, N., Schneider-Futschik, E.K., Gerhardy, S., Hammes, A., Harzheim, A., Herget, T., Jauset, C., Kretschmer, S., Lammie, C., Kloss, N., Fernandes, S.M., Mitrofan, C.-G., Myrgorodska, I., Nedbalek, D., Neumann, S.G., Paffenholz, S., Ponce, L.P., Rogell, B., Savic, D., Velikova, G., Schumacher, C., Weisshaar, N., Yahya, M., Yang, J.Y.C., Zhao, G.: Game changers in science and technology - now and beyond. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 193, 122588 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122588
2. Towns, M., Bain, K., Rodriguez, J.-M.: How Did We Get Here? Using and Applying Mathematics in Chemistry. In: ACS Symposium Series. pp. 1–8 (2019)
3. Bayda, S., Adeel, M., Tuccinardi, T., Cordani, M., Rizzolio, F.: The History of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology: From Chemical–Physical Applications to Nanomedicine. Molecules. 25, 112 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25010112
4. Cheng, L., Welborn, M., Christensen, A., Miller, T.: A universal density matrix functional from molecular orbital-based machine learning: Transferability across organic molecules. The Journal of Chemical Physics. 150, 131103 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5088393
5. Tedeschi, L.O.: Review: The prevailing mathematical modeling classifications and paradigms to support the advancement of sustainable animal production. animal. 17, 100813 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2023.100813
6. Misiurev, D., Holcman, V.: Modeling of Magnetic Films: A Scientific Perspective. Materials (Basel). 17, 1436 (2024). https://doi.org/10.3390/ma17061436
7. Netz, R.R., Eaton, W.A.: Estimating computational limits on theoretical descriptions of biological cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 118, e2022753118 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2022753118
8. Neese, F., Atanasov, M., Bistoni, G., Maganas, D., Ye, S.: Chemistry and Quantum Mechanics in 2019: Give Us Insight and Numbers. J Am Chem Soc. 141, 2814–2824 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b13313
9. Ueda, K., Sokell, E., Schippers, S., Aumayr, F., Sadeghpour, H., Burgdörfer, J., Lemell, C., Tong, X.-M., Pfeifer, T., Calegari, F., Palacios, A., Martin, F., Corkum, P., Sansone, G., Gryzlova, E., Grum-Grzhimailo, A., Piancastelli, M., Weber, P., Steinle, T., Tanaka, K.: Roadmap on photonic, electronic and atomic collision physics I. Light-matter interaction. Journal of Physics B Atomic Molecular and Optical Physics. 52, 171001 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/ab26d7
10. Marella, S.T., Parisa, H.S.K., Marella, S.T., Parisa, H.S.K.: Introduction to Quantum Computing. In: Quantum Computing and Communications. IntechOpen (2020)
11. Sitha, S.: Better performance of Hartree–Fock over DFT: a quantum mechanical investigation on pyridinium benzimidazolate types of zwitterions in the light of localization/delocalization issues. Journal of Molecular Modeling. 29, (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00894-023-05706-1
12. Bazylevych, Y., Kostiushko, I.: Matrices diagonalization in solution of partial differential equation of the first order. Presented at the AIP Conference Proceedings October 24 (2019)
13. Paudel, H.P., Syamlal, M., Crawford, S.E., Lee, Y.-L., Shugayev, R.A., Lu, P., Ohodnicki, P.R., Mollot, D., Duan, Y.: Quantum Computing and Simulations for Energy Applications: Review and Perspective. ACS Eng. Au. 2, 151–196 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsengineeringau.1c00033
14. Chandrasekaran, A., Kamal, D., Batra, R., Kim, C., Chen, L., Ramprasad, R.: Solving the electronic structure problem with machine learning. npj Computational Materials. 5, (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-019-0162-7

15. Duan, C., Liu, F., Nandy, A., Kulik, H.: Putting Density Functional Theory to the Test in Machine-Learning-Accelerated Materials Discovery. The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters. 12, 4628–4637 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c00631
16. Jana, S., Śmiga, S., Constantin, L.A., Samal, P.: Semilocal Meta-GGA Exchange–Correlation Approximation from Adiabatic Connection Formalism: Extent and Limitations. J Phys Chem A. 127, 8685–8697(2023). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.3c03976
17. Izsák, R., Ivanov, A.V., Blunt, N.S., Holzmann, N., Neese, F.: Measuring Electron Correlation: The Impact of Symmetry and Orbital Transformations. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 19, 2703–2720 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c00122
18. Dick, S., Fernandez-Serra, M.: Machine learning accurate exchange and correlation functionals of the electronic density. Nat Commun. 11, 3509 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17265-7
19. Kunduracioglu, A.: A Computational (DFT) Study on the Anti-Malarial Drug: Lumefantrine. Applied Sciences. 13, 9219 (2023). https://doi.org/10.3390/app13169219
20. Mao, Q., Feng, M., Jiang, X.Z., Ren, Y., Luo, K.H., van Duin, A.C.T.: Classical and reactive molecular dynamics: Principles and applications in combustion and energy systems. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science. 97, 101084 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2023.101084
21. George, N.S., David, S.C., Nabiryo, M., Sunday, B.A., Olanrewaju, O.F., Yangaza, Y., Shomuyiwa, D.O.: Addressing neglected tropical diseases in Africa: a health equity perspective. Global Health Research and Policy. 8, 30 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41256-023-00314-1
22. Packwood, D.M.: Exploring the configuration spaces of surface materials using time-dependent diffraction patterns and unsupervised learning. Sci Rep. 10, 5868 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62782-6
23. Cohen, A.A., Ferrucci, L., Fülöp, T., Gravel, D., Hao, N., Kriete, A., Levine, M.E., Lipsitz, L.A., Olde Rikkert, M.G.M., Rutenberg, A., Stroustrup, N., Varadhan, R.: A complex systems approach to aging biology. Nat Aging. 2, 580–591 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-022-00252-6
24. Lewis-Atwell, T., Townsend, P., Grayson, M.: Machine learning activation energies of chemical reactions. WIREs Computational Molecular Science. 12, (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1593
25. Taye, M.M.: Understanding of Machine Learning with Deep Learning: Architectures, Workflow, Applications and Future Directions. Computers. 12, 91 (2023).https://doi.org/10.3390/computers12050091
26. Dou, B., Zhu, Z., Merkurjev, E., Ke, L., Chen, L., Jiang, J., Zhu, Y., Liu, J., Zhang, B., Wei, G.-W.: Machine Learning Methods for Small Data Challenges in Molecular Science. Chem Rev. 123, 8736–8780 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.3c00189
27. Alzubaidi, L., Bai, J., Al-Sabaawi, A., Santamaría, J., Albahri, A. s, Al-dabbagh, B., Fadhel, M., Manoufali, M., Zhang, J., Al-Timemy, A., Duan, Y., Abdullah, A., Farhan, L., Lu, Y., Gupta, A., Albu, F., Abbosh, A., Gu, Y.: A survey on deep learning tools dealing with data scarcity: definitions, challenges, solutions, tips, and applications. Journal of Big Data. 10, (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-023-00727-2
28. Barbierato, E., Gatti, A.: The Challenges of Machine Learning: A Critical Review. Electronics. 13, 416 (2024). https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13020416

CITE AS: Echegu Darlington Arinze and Alum Benedict Nnachi (2024). Challenges in Mathematics Arising from Theoretical and Computational Chemistry. IDOSR JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY 10(2):31-37. https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JST/24/102.313700