

Ethics and Responsibilities of Journalists in Legal Reporting

Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Legal reporting is a specialized domain within journalism that requires navigating ethical, professional, and legal complexities to ensure accurate, fair, and responsible dissemination of information. This paper investigates the ethical principles governing journalists who report on legal matters, emphasizing the importance of accuracy, fairness, and confidentiality. It examines the challenges legal journalists face, including the intricacies of the legal system, the risks of misrepresentation, and the delicate balance between public interest and individual privacy. The paper also highlights best practices, such as rigorous fact-checking, neutrality, and the protection of sources. Ultimately, it argues for a nuanced and principled approach to legal journalism, underscoring its vital role in promoting transparency, accountability, and public trust in the justice system.

Keywords: Legal Reporting, Ethical Journalism, Confidentiality, Media Accountability, Public Trust.

INTRODUCTION

Legal reporting is a specialized field within journalism that informs the public about legal aspects. This category illustrates the activities of the executive, judiciary, and legislature drawn up by either a police record, a court, or legislation. A journalist serves society as a watchdog, exposing incompetent judicial administration and delinquent law enforcement, and preserving constitutional liberties. A journalist has to be responsible to his readers and viewers who rely on him for accurate information [1]. Legal journalism plays an important role in informing. It serves to unravel the web inside the field of law, which is necessary for communication. Journalism has been practiced in law reporting, and there are specifically legal journalists. New tendencies are emerging in the field of legal journalism. Legal journalism in this technocratic era has widened its meaning. Legal journalism is regarded as a good source of information for the layman. It is an important way for an informed society to function [2]. Legal journalism tries judgments, gives eyewitness accounts of impossible acts, and can mold public opinion. Legal journalism is required for justice. If the job of legal reporting

goes wrong, the administration of justice gets jeopardized. A legal journalist implies great responsibility as he has to explore the legal conundrums for the common mass due to its social commitment. Legal journalism and its relevance have meant the same whether it is yesterday or today. However, the meaning and mission of legal journalism have undergone many changes. Now, legal journalism has become a weapon for instructing the people. It tries to bring out the importance of interpreting legislation. In an enlightened society, legal journalism has become indispensable due to the importance of law, and we have become a literate, law-conscious society, resulting in the birth of legal mass media. When a journalist covers a case, his prime duty is to ensure the accuracy of the foundational material as well as any reporting on court proceedings [1]. To probe some judgments to properly report to the readers, to investigate the actions of the various officers, whether magistrates or policemen, involved in the matter of justice, and to report on the defense conducted on these officers are all legitimate parts of the work of a sub-editor when he attends court. Senators, members of the

bar, law students, and bar associations, who have legal knowledge, can also interpret judgments after careful reading [2]. Then, what special obligation do legal journalists owe to society compared to other journalists? Is there a body of ethical rules that is particular to and applies only to legal journalists? Are the rules that apply to other journalists in either broadcasting or print different from those that apply to legal journalists? Is there a difference between legal journalists working on a day-to-day publication and those working on a legal

journal? These are some of the questions that are addressed in this paper. Ethical guidelines, principles, and norms serve as a guide to the day-to-day operation of journalists covering the law. In examining these issues, the problem of whether the legal journalist who writes about legal matters should be legally trained is also considered. These questions are examined to determine the extent to which the law and level of training in law can, or should, affect the legal journalist [1, 2].

Ethical Principles for Journalists in Legal Reporting

People have a right to know and have media that carry information based on the highest standards of integrity, accountability, and regard for public nuances. The corporate and economic concerns of media are not, and indeed, may not conflict with the public's right to know. This principle is fundamentally related to the vital role of mass communication as a public discourse earnestly relying on decisions about public events. This democratic function of justice reporting is most pertinent today. At the same time, the responsibility of providing public information requires that journalism consider the consequences of the information. Is there a risk of direct involvement of the individual? Is there any risk of defaming this individual's integrity? The national self-regulatory code and the principles recognized by professional journalism organizations also provide for how journalists should engage with subject matter when they report on public issues [3, 4]. There should be a balance between what might be responsible for the public and that which might

be harmful. Legal journalists have a story to tell about their general and the impact of court proceedings on the lives of the persons involved. Respect: It is important that in reporting on legal matters, it is important to demonstrate regard and compassion. Truth: The conveyance of accurate news stories is encouraged, despite possible impacts. It is not necessary to report allegations and statements as being factual. Exaggerations are unnecessary. The duty to verify information rests with the journalists, who should have the facts available to the extent of their control. Even if a newspaper or news director does not start legal proceedings against reporting, it may lead to professional discipline if they have reported an attack. Demographics and discussions benefit from the application of codes of conduct to journalists because no regulatory compliance is available. The sale of codes of journalism rests on the laws of each country and the adoption of the principles of self-regulation [5, 6].

Accuracy and Fairness in Legal Reporting

Accurate and fair legal reporting is part of the brief of ethical journalism. Care in obtaining and publishing facts provides reliable and believable information to the public. Responsible journalists engage in research, verification processes, and fact-checking. Good journalism also provides audiences with insight into and understanding of the justice system fairly and neutrally [7, 8]. Moreover, factually inaccurate reporting could lead judges to cancel or alter court proceedings, such as adjourning or doubting court conclusions, which in turn can give the public the impression that the justice system is dysfunctional. Furthermore, poor legal journalism can lead to misinformed public opinion about a case and the justice system, can hurt people facing court proceedings, and can give the public the wrong idea of how the justice

system operates. Another factor that poses a challenge to the legal journalist is the general need for a source of ideas and expertise that can provide insight into what the law may and may not allow so that stories are not likely to go to court [9, 10]. Consequently, to report legal issues fairly and impartially, journalists have to be very skillful in obtaining accurate and accessible information from the court complex, legal practitioners, policymakers, academicians, victims, suspects, and witnesses, thus avoiding confusion and bias in legal reporting. In short, good legal reporting is a perfect combination of accurate and knowledgeable writing. Accuracy and accessibility make legal reporting invaluable and help ethical journalism play its crucial role in society. The ethical function of neutrality and fairness implies that journalists make a full

account of the legal defense advanced. This means that when they write about the decisions and actions of accused persons or parties on trial, they frame these against a backdrop of known illegal actions. In doing this, journalists present all sides equally so that the public and the audience can make up their minds based on the available information. Thus, legal reportage has an important role in helping maintain the

Confidentiality and Source Protection in Legal Reporting

Confidentiality is a major issue in court-related reporting. Often, the information given to journalists is of a sensitive legal nature. Journalists and editors generally argue that individuals who have spoken on the condition of confidentiality would not have done so if they were aware that their conversation might be published. Many of them point to the Code of Ethics, which asserts that anonymous sources should be protected. They claim that if the press is to fulfill its responsibility of watchdog over the government and provide a voice for the powerless in our society, sources cannot be guaranteed anonymity. At the same time, sources or potential sources must also be informed of the legal risk they are taking. These problems are exacerbated when non-confidential information is also sensitive; some journalists protect their sources further in such cases [13, 14]. In theory, journalists who agree to keep information confidential are vulnerable to punishment by a judge. The media are as strong as the laws that protect them. While no legal rule exists for protecting sources, there are some informal sources in common law jurisdictions. These laws are frequently termed "shield laws" because they protect journalists from being legally required to disclose their

Challenges and Best Practices in Legal Reporting

Journalists encounter numerous challenges when it comes to working with the law. First, quick reports and updates in legal matters are common, resulting in missing facts and skewed priorities. Legal processes can also be very foreign and have many nuances that are essential to the case but difficult to understand. There are also many things that people believe to be legal processes that are simply not true. People expect how the legal system works or what it is and can be biased against it if facts are presented that are outside of that expectation. As already mentioned, many people are not used to legal jargon. These concerns are likely most frequently considered in proposed best practices, such as collaboration [17, 18]. While

framework for a guided society. In conclusion, the main point of legal journalism is that when one is accused of wrongdoing, the journalist offers the potential for a non-damaging portrayal. When the person commits illegal actions, the journalist drives the portrayal to the truth and strongly challenges all defenses [11, 12].

sources. Journalists don't tell their judges that they think their sources. They assure them that they know. The public, in turn, knows that this is one of the sacred tenets of journalism: you protect your sources or you leave the work. With the Internet, electronic mail, chat software, and telephone journalism, the confidentiality of sources is at a superior risk. Collaboration between journalists and their sources can often provide realistic information, and building trust is a crucial step in the investigative process. It's generally best to handle sensitive information face to face or through a cold letter, and secure electronic mechanisms, such as encrypted transmission of e-mail, can further increase security. However, even these methods are not entirely safe. Even when special care is taken, absolutely confidential sources may not be. While some journalists insist on having a senior editor see a raw copy of the notes, others may contend that the senior editor would have no better knowledge of the identity of a random source than the stringer handling the copy. At times, another case presents itself: high-profile legal cases, negative or positive, that smudge the line - is this journalistic or public interest? [15, 16].

some of these legal reporting problems apply to writers in general, it is important to tackle journalism and legal libel. In this way, news editors can deal with ethical opinions without certain concerns. The goal is to print and sustain an emphasis on bringing up the dynamic involving the training of journalists and daily editorial judgment. These proposals are for journalism that concerns itself with the truth and with being right. Some tools support this practice and encourage thoughtful and educated moral decisions. A model for critical thinking patterned specifically for journalism leads through several methods of deciding what is true and what is right [19, 20].

CONCLUSION

The role of legal journalism is indispensable in fostering an informed and engaged society. By adhering to ethical principles and maintaining high standards of accuracy and fairness, legal journalists contribute to the integrity of the justice system and public discourse. However, the profession demands a heightened sense of responsibility due to the potential consequences of misrepresentation, bias, or ethical lapses. The

paper underscores the need for specialized training, ethical guidelines, and robust professional practices to address the unique challenges faced by legal journalists. Upholding these principles not only enhances the credibility of the media but also strengthens its role as a watchdog of democracy, ensuring justice and fairness for all.

REFERENCES

1. Jones R. "It's the Best Job on the Paper"—The Courts Beat During the Journalism Crisis. *Journalism Practice*. 2021 Oct 21;15(9):1307-28.
2. Bains A. The Significance of SLAPP Lawsuits and Required Reforms. *Rule of Law Journal*. 2024 Oct 30;4(1).
3. Liu H, Liu W, Yoganathan V, Osburg VS. COVID-19 information overload and generation Z's social media discontinuance intention during the pandemic lockdown. *Technological forecasting and social change*. 2021 May 1;166:120600. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120600)
4. Siebenhaar KU, Köther AK, Alpers GW. Dealing with the COVID-19 infodemic: Distress by information, information avoidance, and compliance with preventive measures. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2020 Nov 5;11:567905.
5. Nyhan B. Facts and myths about misperceptions. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 2020 Aug 1;34(3):220-36.
6. De Paor S, Heravi B. Information literacy and fake news: How the field of librarianship can help combat the epidemic of fake news. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*. 2020 Sep 1;46(5):102218.
7. Costanza-Chock S, Raji ID, Buolamwini J. Who Audits the Auditors? Recommendations from a field scan of the algorithmic auditing ecosystem. In *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* 2022 Jun 21 (pp. 1571-1583). [\[PDF\]](#)
8. Degen M, Olgemöller M, Zabel C. Quality Journalism in Social Media—What We Know and Where We Need to Dig Deeper. *Journalism Studies*. 2024 Mar 11;25(4):399-420.
9. Budzinski AC. Overhauling Rules of Evidence in Pro Se Courts. *U. Rich. L. Rev.*. 2021;56:1075.
10. Lewis R, Christin A. Platform drama: "Cancel culture," celebrity, and the struggle for accountability on YouTube. *New Media & Society*. 2022 Jul;24(7):1632-56.
11. Singer JB. Border patrol: The rise and role of fact-checkers and their challenge to journalists' normative boundaries. *Journalism*. 2021 Aug;22(8):1929-46.
12. Perreault MF, Perreault GP. Journalists on COVID-19 journalism: Communication ecology of pandemic reporting. *American behavioral scientist*. 2021 Jun;65(7):976-91.
13. Foster S. Balancing Expectations of Privacy with Press Freedom: The UK Supreme Court's Decision in *Bloomberg v ZXC* and the Balancing of Privacy and Free Speech by the European Court of Human Rights. In *The Asian Yearbook of Human Rights and Humanitarian Law* 2023 May 24 (pp. 451-478). Brill Nijhoff. [coventry.ac.uk](https://www.coventry.ac.uk)
14. Tustin D. Legal and Ethical Issues for Expert Witnesses on Family Matters. In *Psycho-legal Concepts for Parenting in Child Custody and Child Protection Vol. 2: Ethical and Legal Issues for Mental Health Clinicians Working with Court-Involved Families* 2024 Oct 20 (pp. 5-39). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. [\[HTML\]](#)
15. Hollingsworth K. Kinder Justice: Communicating Legitimacy to Children in Sentencing Courts. *Social & Legal Studies*. 2024:09646639241268921.
16. Darko SA. Investigative journalism in Ghana: Balancing public interest and

www.idosr.org

- individual privacy. *Cogent Social Sciences*. 2020 Jan 1;6(1):1772444.
17. Fitzpatrick K, Gauthier C. Toward a professional responsibility theory of public relations ethics. In *Ethics and Professional Persuasion* 2003 Jul 30 (pp. 193-212). Routledge.
 18. Matthews J, Onyemaobi K. Precarious professionalism: Journalism and the fragility of professional practice in the Global South. In *Journalism and Safety* 2024 Nov 25 (pp. 242-257). Routledge.
 19. Nguyen A, Catalan D. Digital mis/disinformation and public engagement with health and science controversies: Fresh perspectives from Covid-19. *Media and communication*. 2020 Jun 25;8(2):323-8.
 20. Strömbäck J, Tsfati Y, Boomgaarden H, Damstra A, Lindgren E, Vliegenthart R, Lindholm T. News media trust and its impact on media use: Toward a framework for future research. *Annals of the International Communication Association*. 2020 Apr 2;44(2):139-56.

CITE AS: Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka (2024). Ethics and Responsibilities of Journalists in Legal Reporting. IDOSR JOURNAL OF COMMUNICATION AND ENGLISH 9(3):1-5. <https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JCE/93.15.202400>