

International Digital Organization for Scientific Research

IDOSRJHSS93.1800

IDOSR JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES 9(3): 1-8, 2024.

<https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJHSS/2024/93180000>

Digital Humanities: Using Technology to Analyze Cultural Artifacts

Kagaba Amina G.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Digital Humanities (DH) is an interdisciplinary field at the confluence of traditional humanities and computational technologies. It examines new methods for analyzing, preserving, and understanding cultural artifacts through digitization, textual analysis, 3D scanning, and data visualization. This paper examines the scope of DH, the technological tools employed, and their applications in the study of cultural artifacts. Additionally, it addresses ethical concerns inherent in digitizing and interpreting cultural heritage. Through case studies, this research highlights how DH transforms scholarly practices by enabling innovative methodologies and providing new insights into historical and cultural narratives while emphasizing the importance of ethical practices and equitable representation.

Keywords: Digital Humanities, Cultural Artifacts, Digitization, 3D Scanning, Textual Analysis, Data Visualization.

INTRODUCTION

Digital Humanities (DH) is an academic field focused on research, scholarship, and pedagogy. The Humanities Unbounded has named digital tools and publications as one of the "directions for possible future projects" that could come out of its visioning process. Rounding Specialist Defined: "For the Humanities to confront digital culture head-on," the report argues, "is as vital to our survival as interdisciplinarity is to our progress." On its face, the digital humanities are about the intersection between technology and traditional humanities disciplines—including languages, history, philosophy, art, literature, culture, and religion—though some have also expanded the definition quite broadly to encompass any research endeavor that uses digital technology [1, 2]. In practice, this often means the collection, sorting, cleaning, analyzing, and visualizing of cultures. With a focus on computation and communication, digital humanities aim to facilitate new ways of

approaching and conceptualizing cultural artifacts, their interpretation, and their preservation. Not all humanists focus on digital tools and mediums, and "all digital humanities is collaborative in nature." Theories of Digital Humanities draw on diverse and overlapping fields, including literary studies, history, human-computer interaction, cultural studies, and library and information sciences. Almost all accounts of DH describe the field in terms of practices, and this reflects the state of the digital humanities as emerging from the development and testing of digital tools. A second foundational premise of digital humanities, closely related to the practice-oriented first, is the oft-cited old-new field concern with reading and textuality. Digital texts enable new ways of reading, marking, indexing, tracing, classifying, and contextualizing in a medium that makes these activities more visibly malleable [3, 4].

Definition and Scope of Digital Humanities

What are Digital Humanities? At its simplest, digital humanities refers to an expansive, interdisciplinary application of computational tools for the study of cultural artifacts such as printed texts, manuscripts, artifacts, and more contemporary digitized corpora. Unlike traditional disciplines of the humanities, digital

humanities research may involve the application of more than one methodology to an object of study: at the center of digital humanities is the intersection of research in the humanities with research in computer-based technology. Common to many, if not most, digital humanities projects is the conversion of

heterogeneous media to digital media. This conversion is called digitization. Digitization provides scholars of the humanities and humanistic social sciences with better access to primary research materials. Moreover, it provides a means of preserving cultural heritage for future generations [5, 6]. Digital humanities are a “new” field of study, suited to our digital, interconnected world. At the same time, in an age dominated by analysis and other forms of computation, digital humanities have a growing relevance to how people understand the value of academic inquiry. In their work, digital humanities researchers grapple with such topics

Technological Tools for Cultural Artifact Analysis

There is an array of technological tools available for cultural artifact analysis in Digital Humanities, which scholars and students have characterized through research. This includes applications that facilitate interaction with artifacts. In some cases, these are designed to supplement close or forensic examination, mediation, or display by providing visualizations of images and shapes that are not available in normal circumstances. In other cases, technology makes it possible to create and examine virtually generated copies of cultural objects. Some of these can provide a layer of digital enhancement to support interpretation. Also considered under the study of technologically mediated access to the shapes, surfaces, and visual properties of artifacts, and in several cases, the importance is emphasized of integrating the technology and outputs from these applications into research methodologies to shed light on basic issues of making understanding [9, 10]. The applications of digital imagery, scientific analysis tools, and databases for the understanding of artifacts are not viewed as separable from one another. These organizations emphasize how these tools mediate the storage and representation of

Digital Imaging and 3D Scanning

Cultural artifacts are often intricate and detailed, rendering traditional digital imaging technology insufficient for the collection of cultural information. Digital imaging, a critical field in the development of the Digital Humanities discipline, enables researchers and users to capture artifacts that are otherwise difficult to perceive due to their complex forms. Many types of digital imaging can be used, each with its benefits. 3D scanning forms a central part of the toolkit for this work, and the details of its application, current activities, and developing work are outlined in the subsequent section [13, 14]. A central tenet of digital imaging is reproducing the physical object in

as the nature of knowledge, the representation of argument and narrative, the function of museum exhibits, the organization of historical and geographical information, theories of semantics, culture, and aesthetics, and the affordances of digital tools for observing these phenomena. Digital humanities use several research methodologies and information technologies to reach a deeper understanding of cultural artifacts. Topics of investigation can grow from well-formulated research questions or emerge from the data generated by tools used by researchers [7, 8].

voucher artifacts and support new research practices. Finally, there are some important examples of infrastructure and collaborative tools that have been developed and deployed to foster collective approaches to the research of digital cultures. The cases compiled provide an excellent overview of the practices and tools currently being deployed around access to archaeological and historical materials using a range of applications in archaeology and history collections, digital data, and printed texts. Called tools in some of the earlier literature, particularly, these interfaces have typically been used to create research outcomes from raw data. These research approaches can be descriptive and educational, but the philosophy of science and methodologies literature argues that they are highly interpretative as scholarly practices, reflecting the richness and variety of scholarly activity. There are also some significant changes associated with this efflorescence of tools and research practices. These studies have made clear that innovative applications of new information and communication-based tools are capable of enabling revolutionary approaches in cultural analysis [11, 12].

the digital domain. This manner of digitization cannot always provide a perfect or complete mnemonic substitute for the original artifact; therefore, conservation interventions are essential to improve legibility. A digital copy can be an invaluable asset for accessibility and education. Archiving has the potential to augment the Digital Library and the Global Resources Center. In addition, digital imagery can facilitate the assembly of sophisticated online resources. Digitization can provide how accessibility and preservation may be achieved. Digitization activities also aim to provide a digital photographic archive that can be used to facilitate further research, such as checking the

reported condition of the object, comparative studies, or for use as exemplars for impression technology [15, 16]. High-quality images are increasingly being employed to facilitate the examination and conservation of artifacts to avoid unnecessary physical handling and transportation of the original. The application of such technology can produce a digital reproduction so near to the original object that it is almost identical, thus providing valuable evidence of its authenticity and serving as a record for conservation should it be damaged or destroyed. 3D scans can be used online to provide a truly interactive client experience by offering a real reproduction of the scanned

Textual Analysis and Natural Language Processing

Textual analysis is a powerful tool for the humanities. Histories and philosophies, religious texts, and mythologies: stories are cultural productions and historical orientations. Textual study reveals cultural narratives that, while being the main actors in the story of human civilization, are at the same time barely noticed and taken for granted—things whose truth is often considered to be self-evident [19, 20]. The future of every artifact was determined by its author's cultural atmosphere. In the eighteenth century, an advanced knowledge of printed texts and literary tradition became an indispensable and necessary condition for being a 'civilized' and 'learned' person. Natural language processing techniques have been used to handle and analyze vast collections of novels, but also of other, often forgotten, genres: fables printed at the end of the 1600s as a compendium of correct precepts for teens to follow; dime novels published in the 1800s containing exciting romances and stories of unexpected success; popular magazines published between the 1920s and 1970s that covered interests such as diving, fashion, and news related to models and the latest chatter. By leaning against linguistic principles, it is possible to carry out data analysis to discover patterns and trends or to conduct sentiment analysis, establish relationships and outlines between the terminology of different literature on a semantic basis, and, in-depth, define possible subjects and topics of the text, starting from the analysis of the culture it was produced by. Both sets designed some case studies carried out by approaching textual analysis for cultural research in different ways using this or that NLP tool, showing both the pitfalls, such as the possible interpretative distance between the meanings of words or the recurrent use of some indexical expressions, and the advantages of the use of natural language processing in the human

object. The flexible and dynamic viewing experience offers a wide range of opportunities for users, including the display of compositional and institutional content, the change of scales, the unique user perspective of the artifact, and its use as an educational tool. This can also encourage users to further investigate and interact with the collection by providing a browsing interface and promoting digital exploration of the database. Several examples of digital imagery utilized in groundbreaking projects are highlighted. There are, however, ethical issues surrounding the reproduction and representation of cultural artifacts that need to be addressed [17, 18].

sciences [21, 22]. For example, many case studies conducted within the so-called digital humanities made use of sentiment analysis techniques in order to understand the way people talked about some events or topics. Sentiment analysis does not aim, however, to summarize the content of the text from a semantic point of view. On the contrary, this kind of analysis would be in most cases oriented to broad lexical, distributional, corpus-based linguistic-syntactic, and semantic-syntactic studies—be they diatopic, diastratic, or diachronic analyses—carried out through the so-called topic modeling, to obtain possible orientations on the ways the text should be read. Moreover, digital editions of the entire corpus of a single author's works become a privileged instrument for philological research, allowing the possibility of a direct comparison of the various textual witnesses and providing information on the successive stages of the editorial process of the author's texts. This practice called a 'distant reading' of texts, is an additional and valuable tool for those involved in literary studies. Language, however, or better, its variability, can often be a strong source of uncertainty in machines, especially for those cultures where language can often be polysemic and idiomatic at the same time. Often, the same word assumes different meanings depending on the context in which it is used. The sense of a term is strictly related to its contextual collocation and, more generally speaking, with the entire semantic field where such lexical lemma is posited. Furthermore, the ability to understand what is implied in texts, as well as writing styles, cultural biases, and the connotation of words and terms, change with time and from place to place. Statistical analysis might also result in excluding the sentimental style from the author's literary production as an

exception or a controlled artistic device [23, 24].

Applications in Cultural Artifact Studies

Textual analysis offers several practical applications for cultural artifact studies. It can be used to conduct what several scholars have described as "viewing the archive at scale." By working with much larger datasets than would be practical to read and analyze without computational assistance, researchers can explore thematic recapitulations, linguistic repetition and patterns, and geographic and chronological change in any kind of text. The substantial consideration here has occurred in conjunction with politics and literature, concentrating on national developments and major events. Thus, recent work in cultural analytics, distant reading, and digital literary studies has opened up radically new possibilities for understanding a range of previously 'unthinkable archives' of research - including 'less transmittable scholarly literature,' dance reviews, photography criticism, or early female magazines and newspapers and neglected novels. In each case, the studies have led to a blossoming of new understanding of research phenomena previously hidden from scholars or ideologically underrepresented in scholarship [25, 26]. This kind of distant reading and computational textual analysis provides innovative ways of identifying, evaluating, and

interpreting texts and cultural artifacts. By applying machine learning techniques to very large literary corpora, we have the potential to command radical new ways of collecting and contemplating the vast displacement and inconsiderable quiddity of which our cultural universe is a part. The importance of computational analysis becomes apparent at its margin, in the profoundly skewed nature of the global literary field; in other words, the enormous popularity of a handful of European writers compared to the multiple unheard-of authors who produced thousands of other texts. It was discovered that the first half of the 19th century saw a huge change in the number of characters in British fiction. It was observed that the average number of characters in novels was 21,000 before 1836, whereas the average increased by 100,000 characters after 1843, which is when the novel started to become a bestseller. The implications and possibilities of such findings for understanding the transformation of literature and society are manifest. The technique could also prove useful, such as for evaluating and interpreting an extensive, digressive narrative style characteristic of a set of texts [27, 28].

Data Visualization in Digital Humanities

Data visualization is crucial in digital humanities, allowing researchers to analyze complex data obtained through digitization. Visual representations reveal social dynamics, individual experiences, and sensory aspects. Various tools and techniques, like graphs and maps, are used to present data visually for easier analysis. Digital technologies provide opportunities to explore relationships between research, artifacts, and interpretation.

Transparency in collaborative research has positive impacts, but visual representations can be biased and exclude certain perspectives. Some researchers advocate for text or narrative as a more inclusive approach. Ethical concerns arise with the use of visual presentations, and guidelines are being developed to foster public engagement and responsible storytelling [29, 30].

Types of Visualization Techniques

There are three genres of visualization: static, dynamic, and interactive. Static visualizations present information as an image and are useful for summaries. Dynamic visualizations show processes or changing/historical information as a video [31]. Interactive visualizations allow users to interact with data. Researchers should choose the technique that suits their goals and questions. Visualization techniques have different strengths, but researchers often choose accessible ones instead of those that serve their project goals. Visualization should drive

research and provide new insights, rather than being determined prior to analysis. Overloading visualizations with data can make them unclear. Visualizations should aim to build arguments and critique cultural data interpretations. This paper showcases various visualization techniques for interpreting cultural data, indicating the acceptance of digital humanities in interdisciplinary research. Case studies will be presented using visualization to present and analyze data [32, 33].

Ethical Considerations in Digital Humanities

A central task of Digital Humanities, and one of its major appeals, is the analysis and representation of cultural artifacts held by

libraries, archives, and cultural heritage institutions. Digitization allows for the discovery, access, manipulation, and

representation of texts and artifacts of all kinds to people around the world with an internet connection [34, 35]. However, as a field or a set of practices, the Digital Humanities must engage with a range of ethical questions that arise in the process of representing and working with digitized cultural heritage artifacts. These questions cut across legal issues of intellectual property and access to information or regulatory structures for cultural heritage institutions, international guidelines for research that involves human subjects, and issues of historical and contemporary surveillance, ownership, and colonial and national narratives. Neither the transfer of a text to a digital environment nor the computational analysis of images produces a text or image liberated from its context of production and use. These concerns have

Digital Humanities serves as a transformative bridge between computational advancements and humanities research, offering unprecedented methods to analyze, preserve, and engage with cultural artifacts. By utilizing tools like 3D scanning, textual analysis, and data visualization, researchers gain deeper insights into cultural narratives and historical dynamics. However, the field must continue addressing ethical considerations, including equitable

CONCLUSION

parallels with the history of ethnomusicology and the physical anthropology of the 19th and 20th centuries, which conducted and advanced massive scientific, political, and cultural enterprises based on a Euro-American conception of racial and cultural difference. Many of the human remains and artifacts collected during these investigations are still in the collections of Western museums, awaiting repatriation. Such archives have been and continue to be used to define the not-West, helping to propagate and perpetuate a multitude of racist and sexist stereotypes. In other words, the archives of the 19th and 20th centuries, of which recordings and pictures are a part, have contributed to the construction of social categories with potentially real and lethal consequences [36, 37].

access, cultural sensitivity, and the representation of marginalized voices. Digital Humanities not only fosters interdisciplinary collaboration but also redefines how societies interact with their cultural legacies, ensuring their preservation and relevance for future generations. Through responsible practices and innovative technologies, DH demonstrates its capacity to reshape the academic and public understanding of human culture and history.

REFERENCES

1. Cosgrave M. Digital humanities methods as a gateway to inter and transdisciplinarity. *Global Intellectual History*. 2021 Jan 2;6(1):24-33.
2. Giannetti F. Against the grain: Reading for the challenges of collaborative digital humanities pedagogy. *The Digital Humanities*. 2020 Jun 29:123-35.
3. van Noord N. A survey of computational methods for iconic image analysis. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*. 2022 Dec 1;37(4):1316-38.
4. Malysheva O, Tokareva E, Orchakova L, Smirnova Y. The effect of online learning in modern history education. *Heliyon*. 2022 Jul 1;8(7).
5. Oberbichler S, Boroş E, Doucet A, Marjanen J, Pfanzelter E, Rautiainen J, Toivonen H, Tolonen M. Integrated interdisciplinary workflows for research on historical newspapers: Perspectives from humanities scholars, computer scientists, and librarians. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. 2022 Feb;73(2):225-39. wiley.com
6. Viola L, Venken M, Verheul J. Migration studies and the digital: datafication, implications and methodological approaches. *Frontiers in Human Dynamics*. 2023 Dec 7;5:1337817.
7. Koya K, Chowdhury G. Cultural heritage information practices and iSchools education for achieving sustainable development. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. 2020 Jun;71(6):696-710. whiterose.ac.uk
8. Boboc RG, Băutu E, Gîrbacia F, Popovici N, Popovici DM. Augmented reality in cultural heritage: an overview of the last decade of applications. *Applied Sciences*. 2022 Sep 30;12(19):9859. mdpi.com
9. Markopoulos E, Markopoulos P, Liunila M, Almufti Y, Romano C. Digital cultural strategies within the context of digital humanities economics. In *Advances in Human Factors in Wearable Technologies and*

- Game Design: Proceedings of the AHFE 2019 International Conference on Human Factors and Wearable Technologies, and the AHFE International Conference on Game Design and Virtual Environments, July 24-28, 2019, Washington DC, USA 10 2020 (pp. 283-295). Springer International Publishing. ucl.ac.uk
10. Krämer S. Should we really 'hermeneutise' the Digital Humanities? A plea for the epistemic productivity of a 'cultural technique of flattening' in the Humanities. *Journal of Cultural Analytics*. 2023 Jan 30;7(4).
 11. Oviana P, Muhibbin A. Cultivation of Digital Literacy Based Communication in Natural Science Learning at Al-Abidin Primary School of Information and Communication Technologies. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*. 2024 Nov 25;10(11):8412-20.
 12. Chaudhary D, Pathak V, Swarup K, Singh MP, Baliyan R, Chauhan P. A Psychological Approach to Promote Climate Change and Sustainable Economic Development Using Information and Communication based Application. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*. 2023 Jul 14;6(8s):244-53. jrtdd.com
 13. Xu J, Zhang S. Status, challenges, and future perspectives of fringe projection profilometry. *Optics and Lasers in Engineering*. 2020 Dec 1;135:106193.
 14. Quan-Haase A, Mendes K, Ho D, Lake O, Nau C, Pieber D. Mapping#MeToo: A synthesis review of digital feminist research across social media platforms. *New media & society*. 2021 Jun;23(6):1700-20.
 15. Lee H. The rise of ChatGPT: Exploring its potential in medical education. *Anatomical sciences education*. 2024 Jul;17(5):926-31.
 16. Wilkens L, Haage A, Lüttmann F, Bühler CR. Digital teaching, inclusion and students' needs: Student perspectives on participation and access in higher education. *Social Inclusion*. 2021 Jul 21;9(3):117-29.
 17. Yang C, Liu Y. Preserving Sculptural Heritage in the Era of Digital Transformation: Methods and Challenges of 3D Art Assessment. *Sustainability*. 2024 Jun 24;16(13):5349.
 18. Domínguez-Delmás M, Bossema FG, Dorscheid J, Coban SB, Hall-Aquitania M, Batenburg KJ, Hermens E. X-ray computed tomography for non-invasive dendrochronology reveals a concealed double panelling on a painting from Rubens' studio. *PLoS One*. 2021 Aug 27;16(8):e0255792. plos.org
 19. Chaiuk TA, Dunaievskia OV. Producing the fear culture in media: An examination on coronavirus discourse. *Journal of History Culture and Art Research*. 2020;9(2):184-94. academia.edu
 20. Guo Y, Cao Z, Zhu Z. The influence of ICH-narrator/self-congruity on tourist's purchase intention of intangible cultural heritage products in a narrative context. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*. 2022 Sep 1;52:151-60.
 21. Lee P, Fyffe S, Son M, Jia Z, Yao Z. A paradigm shift from "human writing" to "machine generation" in personality test development: An application of state-of-the-art natural language processing. *Journal of Business and Psychology*. 2023 Feb;38(1):163-90.
 22. Wang J, Deng H, Liu B, Hu A, Liang J, Fan L, Zheng X, Wang T, Lei J. Systematic evaluation of research progress on natural language processing in medicine over the past 20 years: bibliometric study on PubMed. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2020 Jan 23;22(1):e16816. jmir.org
 23. Peng S, Cao L, Zhou Y, Ouyang Z, Yang A, Li X, Jia W, Yu S. A survey on deep learning for textual emotion analysis in social networks. *Digital Communications and Networks*. 2022 Oct 1;8(5):745-62. sciencedirect.com
 24. Das S, Dutta A. Characterizing public emotions and sentiments in COVID-19 environment: A case study of India. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*. 2021 May 19;31(1-4):154-67. github.io
 25. Brown N, Collins J. Systematic visuo-textual analysis-A framework for analysing visual and textual data. *The Qualitative Report*. 2021 Feb 21;26(4):1275-90.
 26. Grosman L, Muller A, Dag I, Goldgeier H, Harush O, Herzlinger G, Nebenhaus K, Valetta F, Yashuv T, Dick N. Artifact3-D: New software for accurate, objective and efficient 3D

- analysis and documentation of archaeological artifacts. *PLoS One*. 2022 Jun 16;17(6):e0268401. [plos.org](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268401)
27. Georganta K. "A Greek land of promise" as a bone of contention: modern Greece in the early years of *The Illustrated London News*, 1843–1850. *Nineteenth-Century Contexts*. 2023 Mar 15;45(2):181-200.
 28. Ulianova G. Female Factory Owners in the Industry of Moscow, 1840s–1860s. In *Nineteenth Century Businesswomen: A Retrospective Glance at Women Entrepreneurship* 2024 Jul 1 (pp. 37-64). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. [HTML]
 29. Hepworth KJ, Church C. Racism in the machine: Visualization ethics in digital humanities projects. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.17704*. 2024 Nov 12.
 30. Hyvönen E. Using the Semantic Web in digital humanities: Shift from data publishing to data-analysis and serendipitous knowledge discovery. *Semantic Web*. 2020 Jan 1;11(1):187-93.
 31. Heer J, Shneiderman B. Interactive dynamics for visual analysis: A taxonomy of tools that support the fluent and flexible use of visualizations. *Queue*. 2012 Feb 1;10(2):30-55.
 32. Liu S, Cui W, Wu Y, Liu M. A survey on information visualization: recent advances and challenges. *The Visual Computer*. 2014 Dec;30:1373-93.
 33. Gilmore-Bykovskiy A, Croff R, Glover CM, Jackson JD, Resendez J, Perez A, Zuelsdorff M, Green-Harris G, Manly JJ. Traversing the aging research and health equity divide: Toward intersectional frameworks of research justice and participation. *The Gerontologist*. 2022 Jun 1;62(5):711-20.
 34. Segel E, Heer J. Narrative visualization: Telling stories with data. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics*. 2010 Oct 28;16(6):1139-48.
 35. Hegarty M. Dynamic visualizations and learning: Getting to the difficult questions. *Learning and Instruction*. 2004 Jun 1;14(3):343-51.
 36. Crampton JW. Interactivity types in geographic visualization. *Cartography and geographic information science*. 2002 Jan 1;29(2):85-98.
 37. Kehrer J, Hauser H. Visualization and visual analysis of multifaceted scientific data: A survey. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics*. 2012 Apr 17;19(3):495-513.

**CITE AS: Kagaba Amina G. (2024). Digital Humanities: Using Technology to Analyze Cultural Artifacts. IDOSR JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES 9(3): 1-8.
<https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJHSS/2024/93180000>**